1	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General JOSHUA HALEN, Bar No. 13885 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1209 E-mail: jhalen@ag.nv.gov  Attorneys for Defendants Quentin Byrne, Starlin Gentry, Maribelle Henry, Ricky Hughes, Michael LeGasse, William Sandie, Brandon Silva, and Kirk Widmar	
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	WILLIE ROY LEWIS,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00191-MMD-WGC
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
14	vs.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
15	MICHAEL LEGASSE C/O, RICKY HUGHES SC/O, KIRK WIDMAR LT., BRANDON	
16 17	SILVA SC/O, STARLIN GENTRY SGT., QUENTIN BYRNE W., MARIBELLE HENRY FSM., WILLIAM SANDIE-WARDEN	
18	Defendants.	
19	Defendants, Michael Legasse C/O, Ricky Hughes SC/O, Kirk Widmar Lt., Brandon Silva SC/C	
20	Starlin Gentry Sgt., Quentin Byrne W., Maribelle Henry FSM, and William Sandie-Warden, by and	
21	through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Joshua M. Halen,	
22	Deputy Attorney General, move for an Extension of Time to file a Responsive Pleading to Plaintiff's	
23	Complaint. This Motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the papers	
24	and pleadings on file, and any other information the Court chooses to consider.	
25	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
26	I. LAW & ARGUMENT	
27	This is an inmate civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff Willie Lewi	
28	(Plaintiff) is an inmate in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC). On April 8,	

2018, the Court entered its Screening Order and permitted Plaintiff to proceed on several Counts. (ECF No. 8.) On July 31, 2018, the Parties engaged in the Court's Inmate Early Mediation Conference. The Parties were unable to come to a resolution of this case at the Mediation Conference. (ECF No. 12.) On August 7, 2018, the Court entered its Order, removing this case from the ninety day stay and setting deadlines for the Nevada Attorney General's Office to accept service on behalf of defendants and to provide the last known addresses for defendants it is not accepting service on behalf of. (ECF No. 14.)

The Nevada Attorney General's Office accepted service on behalf of Defendants Starlin Gentry, Maribelle Henry, Ricky Hughes, Michael Legasse, William Sandie, and Kirk Widmar. (ECF No. 15.) Accordingly, a responsive pleadings was due on behalf of Defendants Starlin Gentry, Maribelle Henry, Ricky Hughes, Michael Legasse, William Sandie, and Kirk Widmar on or before Monday, October 8, 2018. (ECF No. 14.) Service was not accepted on behalf of Defendant Quentin Byrne. (ECF No. 15.) On September 20, 2018, Defendant Byrne was served with the Summons and a copy of the Complaint. (ECF No. 20.) A responsive pleading for Defendant Byrne is due on before Thursday, October 11, 2018. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)(i).

Since the Mediation Conference, Plaintiff and Defendants' counsel and representatives have engaged in settlement discussions in an attempt to resolve the issues presented in this case and other issues concerning Plaintiff. On October 1, 2018, Plaintiff and Defendants' counsel engaged in a telephonic conference and reached a settlement agreement. The parties agreed to the terms of the settlement agreement and the parties are completing the necessary paperwork to obtain signatures on the necessary documents and to file the Stipulation and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice with the Court. Based on the fact that the parties have reached a settlement of this action resulting in the case being dismissed, Defendants request that the Court extend the deadline for all Defendants to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) governs enlargements of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

The proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present a request for extension of time before the time fixed has expired. *Canup v. Miss. Val. Barge Line Co.*, 31 F.R.D. 282 (W.D. Pa. 1962). Extensions of time may always be asked for, and usually are granted on a showing of good cause if timely made under subdivision (b)(1) of the Rule. *Creedon v. Taubman*, 8 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947).

Defendants seek an enlargement of time to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint. Good cause exists to extend the time to file this motion. The extension of time is needed to finalize the necessary documents for the parties' settlement agreement and to file the Stipulation and Order for Dismissal with the Court and to obtain the Court's signature on the proposed order. The parties reached a settlement agreement to dismiss this case on October 1, 2018, and the parties are currently finalizing the necessary documents by obtaining the needed signatures. It appears as if the parties will be unable to submit the Stipulation and Order for Dismissal with Prejudice to the Court before October 8, 2018. This short extension of time is requested in order to allow the parties to complete the necessary settlement documents and provide those documents to the Court without the need to file a responsive pleading when the parties have agreed to dismiss this case. The extension is further requested in order to excuse the parties from the burden and costs of litigation while providing the Court with sufficient time to review the Stipulation and Order for Dismissal with Prejudice. This request is made in good faith and is not for the purposes of delay. Defendants request an extension of thirty days to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint.

21 | | ///

///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 || ///

27 | | ///

28 | /

## II. **CONCLUSION** Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request this motion for enlargement of time be granted and the deadline for filing a responsive pleading be extended thirty (30) days, up to and including Wednesday November 7, 2018. DATED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2018. ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General By: JOSHUA M. HALEN Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division Attorneys for Defendants IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: October 9, 2018. Willen G. Cobb UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE